IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DAVID R. McGUIRE and ARLENE B. McGUIRE,

08-CV-1098-AC

ORDER

Plaintiffs,

v.

CLACKAMAS COUNTY COUNSEL, SCOTT CIECKO, JUDGE RONALD E. CINNIGER, KIM PRIEST, KEN SPIEGLE, and CLACKAMAS COUNTY,

Defendants.

DAVID R. McGUIRE

ARLENE B. McGUIRE

22988 S. Day Hill Rd.
Estacada, OR 97203

(503) 656-9089

Plaintiffs, Pro Se

EDWARD S. McGLONE III

Clackamas County Counsel 2051 Kaen Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045 (503) 655-8362

Attorneys for Defendant Clackamas County Counsel, Scott Ciecko, Kim Priest, Ken Spiegle, and Clackamas County

JOHH KROGER

Attorney General

ANDREW D. HALLMAN

JAMES S. SMITH

Assistant Attorneys General Oregon Department of Justice 1162 Court St. N.E. Salem, OR 97301 (503) 947-4791

Attorneys for Defendant Judge Ronald E. Cinniger

BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge John V. Acosta issued Findings and Recommendation (#56) on September 23, 2009, in which he recommended the Court grant Defendant Judge Ronald E. Cinniger's Motion to Dismiss (#22) with prejudice and deny Judge Cinniger's request for attorneys' fees. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, this Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record de novo. Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). See also Lorin Corp. v. Goto & Co., 700 F.2d 1202, 1206 (8th Cir. 2- ORDER

1983). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, the Court does not find any error.

CONCLUSION

The Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Acosta's Findings and Recommendation (#56) and, accordingly, **GRANTS** Judge Ronald E. Cinniger's Motion to Dismiss (#22) with prejudice and **DENIES** Judge Cinniger's request for attorneys' fees.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 24th day of November, 2009.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

ANNA J. BROWN United States District Judge